1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney		
3	ROSS NADEL (CASBN 87940) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	SCOTT C. TSUI (CASBN 161126) Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5 6	280 South First Street, Suite 371 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5080		
7 8	Attorneys for the United States of America		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 03 20182		
14	Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT		
15	v.		
16	MEMORY X, a.k.a.		
17	MEMORY X, INC.,) Defendant.		
18			
19	Memory X, also known as Memory X, Incorporated (hereinafter Defendant), a California		
20	organization, and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California		
21	(hereinafter "the government") enter into this written plea agreement (hereinafter the		
22	"Agreement") pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.		
23	The Defendant's Promises		
24	1. Defendant agrees to plead guilty to count one of the captioned information		
25	charging it with mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341. Defendant agrees that the element		
26	of the offense and the maximum penalties are as follows:		
27	<u>Elements</u>		
28	a. Defendant knowingly made up or participated in a scheme or plan for obtaining		
	DI EA AGREEMENT		

money by making false statements;

- b. Defendant knew that the statements were false;
- c. The statements were material, that is they would reasonably influence a person to part with money;
- d. Defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and
- e. Defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

Penalties

As an organization, Defendant may be fined the maximum amount of \$500,000, or twice the gross gain or gross loss, whichever is greater, and/or a term of probation, and a special assessment in the amount of \$400 to be paid at the time of sentencing.

2. Defendant agrees that it is guilty of the offense to which it will plead guilty, and agrees that the following are true:

Beginning in January 1998 and continuing through January 1999, Defendant, a company engaged in the business of exporting computer devices for sale in the United Kingdom, knowingly devised, participated in, and aided and abetted activities to defraud Customs officials of the United Kingdom and Tax officials of the United Kingdom by employing a fraudulent undervaluing scheme in which Defendant issued from its offices in San Jose, California "double invoices" for merchandise shipped to companies doing business in the United Kingdom. One invoice, quoting a fraudulently low value for goods listed, was created for the purpose of fraudulently lowering the assessed value of goods on which a Value Added Tax (VAT) was due upon importation to the United Kingdom. A second invoice, reflecting the true value of such goods was also created to be sent to purchasing companies for use by those companies in making full payment, via international wire transfer, to Defendant for goods sold.

On January 7, 1999, in Santa Clara County, the Northern District of California, in furtherance of this scheme and in order to carry it out, Defendant did knowingly deposit and cause to be deposited matters and things to be sent and delivered by Federal Express, a private and commercial interstate carrier, to the office of Microtech European, Ltd., located in the United Kingdom, with a fraudulent invoice representing the shipment's value at \$17,000, while the true invoice showed the value as \$82,500. This action caused a loss, i.e, VAT evasion, of \$11,462.50.

3. Defendant agrees to give up all rights that it would have if it chose to proceed to trial, including the right to a jury trial with the assistance of an attorney; to confront and cross-examine government witnesses; to remain silent or present testimony; to move to suppress

evidence or raise any other Fourth or Fifth Amendment claims; to any further discovery from the government, as may be limited by <u>United States v. Ruiz</u> 421 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2001); and to pursue any affirmative defenses and present evidence.

- 4. Defendant agrees to give up its right to appeal the conviction, the judgement, and orders of the court. Defendant also agrees to waive any right it may have to appeal its sentence. In particular, Defendant acknowledges and agrees that for the limited purpose of entering a guilty plea to count one of the information pursuant to the Agreement, it has waived certain affirmative defenses which could be raised with respect to the specific transaction described in the information, including, but not limited to, the assertion of (1) the presumption against the extraterritorial application of laws of the United States; (2) the "revenue rule," providing that the courts of one jurisdiction will not enforce the revenue rules of a different jurisdiction; and (3) applicable provisions of international law.
- 5. Defendant agrees not to file in the courts of the United States, any collateral attack on its conviction or sentence, including a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, at any time in the future after it is sentenced, except for a claim that its constitutional right to the effective assistance of counsel was violated.
- 6. Defendant agrees not to ask the court to withdraw its guilty plea at any time after it is entered, unless the court declines to accept the sentence agreed to by the parties. Defendant agrees that the government may withdraw from this agreement if the court does not accept the agreed upon sentence set out below.
- 7. Defendant agrees that the period between the signing date of this agreement and the sentencing date of this case shall be tolled and excluded from any calculation of time concerning ay applicable statute of limitations under the laws of the United States as it relates to any criminal matters under investigation by the United States pertaining to Defendant's activities between 1998 and 1999.
- 8. Defendant agrees that its sentencing should be calculated using the 1998 edition of the United States Sentencing Guidelines by reference to U.S.S.G. § 8, governing the sentencing of organizations, and that the Sentencing Guidelines should be calculated as follows:

1	Fine Determination (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.1(a), referencing U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1)		
2	Base offense level: (U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(a))	6	
4	Specific offense characteristics		
5	Amount of loss*:	+3	
6	(U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(1)(D)) * The government and Defendant specifically agree and stipulate that the loss by the specific criminal conduct described in count one of the information is more than \$10,000 and less than \$20,000.		
7			
8	More than minimum planning: (U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(2))	+2	
9	Scheme conducted outside of the	+2	
10	United States involving sophisticated means: (U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(5)(B) and (C))	. 2	
11	Adjusted offense level:	13	
12	Base fine:	\$60,000	
13	(U.S.S.G. § 8C2.4(d))		
14	Culpability Score	_	
15	Base level: (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.5(a))	5	
16	Acceptance of responsibility:	-2	
17	$(U.S.S.G. \S 8C2.5(g)(2))$		
18	Adjusted culpability score:	3	
19	Fine Multipliers		
20	Culpability score of 4 or less (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.6)	.60 - 1.20	
21	Guideline Fine Range*:	\$36,000 - 72,000	
22	* my series and stimulate that t	recognizing the Guideline Fine Range	
23	II TO A TO		
24			
25	9. In April of 1999, pursuant to valid seizure		
26	Service seized funds in the amounts of \$432,735.14 from Bank of America, Account Number		
27	11863-22226, and \$404,561.76 from Bank of America, Account Numbers 11863-22226, 06480-		
28	11857 and 12620-13203. (Total: \$837,296.90) Defendant agrees that the fine of \$36,000 for the		

 criminal conduct to which it is entering a guilty plea will be paid from the \$837,296.90 seized. Defendant also agrees that the remaining seized funds, \$801,296.90, are subject to forfeiture in its entirety pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a). Defendant and its current Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Kenneth Olsen abandon and withdraw any and all claims to said funds and consent to the forfeiture of same by the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, United States Department of Homeland Security, without further notice to Defendant and or its CEO Kenneth Olsen.

- 10. The Defendant agrees that an appropriate disposition of this case is as follows:
 - a. Payment of \$36,000 fine as determined in Paragraph 8 and described in paragraph 9 above;
 - b. Forfeiture of \$801,296.90 as described in Paragraph 9 above; and
 - c. Defendant will pay a special assessment of \$400 at the time of sentencing; and
 - d. The payment of the fine, special assessment, and forfeiture of the funds seized as described in this paragraph will resolve all criminal and civil matters which are currently pending or may be brought by the United States based upon the conduct described in the Information.
- Defendant agrees not to commit or attempt to commit any crimes before sentence is imposed. Defendant also agrees that it will not intentionally provide false information to the court, the Probation Office, Pretrial Services, or the government; or fail to comply with any of the promises it has made in the Agreement. Defendant agrees that, if it fails to comply with any promises it has made in the Agreement, then the government will be released from all of its promises below, but Defendant will not be released from its guilty plea.
- 12. Defendant agrees that the Agreement contains all of the promises and agreements between it and the government and that it will not claim otherwise in the future.
- Defendant agrees that the Agreement binds the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California only, and does not bind any other federal, state, or local agency. The Government's <u>Promises</u>
- 14. The government agrees not to file or seek any additional charges against Defendant, its officers, agents, employees, and/or related entities, that could be filed as a result of the investigation that led to the captioned information.

The government agrees that the appropriate sentence in this case should be as set 15. forth in paragraph 10 above, unless Defendant violates the agreement as set forth in paragraph 11 above. Defendant's Affirmations Defendant confirms that, through its current CEO Kenneth Olsen, it has had 16. adequate time to discuss this case, the evidence, and the Agreement with its attorney, who has provided it with all the legal advice it has requested. Defendant confirms that its current CEO, Kenneth Olsen, while considering 17. whether to sign the Agreement on Defendant's behalf, and at the time the Agreement was signed, was not under the influence of any alcohol, drug, or medicine. /// /// 1// /// 1// 1/// /// ///

1	18. Defendant confirms that its decision to enter a guilty plea is made knowing the		
2	charge that has been brought against it, any possible defenses, and the benefits and possible		
3	detriments of proceeding to trial. Defendant also confirms that its decision to plead guilty is		
4	made voluntarily, and that it has not been coerced or threatened to enter into the Agreement.		
5			
6	MEMORY X, a.k.a. MEMORY X, INC.		
7			
8	Dated: 5 Jan 2004 Celebrate OLSEN		
9	Chief Executive Officer on behalf of Defendant MEMORY X, a.k.a. MEMORY X, INC.		
10	WIEWON I A, a.K.a. WIEWON I A, INC.		
11	I have discussed with and fully explained to my client, Memory X, a.k.a. Memory X, Inc.,		
12	through its current CEO, Kenneth Olsen, all the rights that a criminal defendant has and all the		
13	terms of the Agreement. In my opinion, my client, through its CEO, understands all of the terms		
14	of the Agreement and all the rights it is giving up by pleading guilty, and, based on the		
15	information now known to me, its decision to plead guilty is knowing, voluntary, and made with		
16	my consent.		
17			
18	Dated: 15-2009 KENNETH W. ROBINSON, ESQ.		
19	Counsel for Defendant		
20			
21	KEVIN V. RYAN		
22	United States Attorney		
23	Q=1162		
24	Dated: 1-5-2004 SCOTT C. TSUI		
25	Special Assistant United States Attorney		
26			
27			
28			